48	HAMBERS ENVIRON 35 East College Ave., Suite easant Gap, PA 16823		LETTER OF	TRANSMITTAL
	none: (814) 359-4520 xx: (814) 359-9881		30 June 98	JOB NO.
то	ICF Kaiser	Inc.	George Sak	acs
	4000 Vine 5	1.		* 96-116 F)
	Middletown	PA 17057	Rt. 119 Amo	_
	•		Dunbar Turp.	., Connelisville, PA
WE A	RE SENDING YOU 🗆 A	Attached	er via	the following items:
>	☐ Shop drawings	☐ Prints ☐ P	lans □ Samples	☐ Specifications
		☐ Change order ☐ _		
COPIE			DESCRIPTION	
l	30 June 98	Soil Vapor Extracti		ilat Test
		JUL 02		To file.
THES	SE ARE TRANSMITTED a	S checked below: PA US	VC. TIE	
	☐ For approval	☐ Approved as sub	mitted Resubmit _	copies for approval
	For your use	☐ Approved as note	ed 🗆 Submit	copies for distribution
>	☐ As requested	☐ Returned for corr	ections Return	corrected prints
	☐ For review and c	omment		
	☐ FOR BIDS DUE	19 _	PRINTS RETU	IRNED AFTER LOAN TO US
	ARKS			
		above titled report		
	preparing a R	emedial Action Plan	in the near fut	ure. RAP will include
	installation of	Soil Vapor Extraction	Air Sparge Tystem	ure. RAP will include 1. Please call if
		justions ar comments		
	,		Sincerely, CHAMBERS ENVIL	RONMENTAL BROWN, IN
COPY	vio file		SIGNED:	_ D, l~
		If enclosures are not as noted		2/10/2012 10·19·51 AM

SOIL VAPOR EXTRACTION / AIR SPARGE PILOT TEST REPORT

ROUTE 119 AMOCO FACILITY ID. #26 - 18711 STATE ROUTE 119 DUNBAR TOWNSHIP, FAYETTE CO. DUNBAR, PENNSYLVANIA

PREPARED BY

CHAMBERS ENVIRONMENTAL GROUP, INC. PLEASANT GAP, PENNSYLVANIA

SUBMITTED TO

MR. RON HALL 925 MORBELL AVENUE CONNELLSVILLE, PENNSYLVANIA 15425

JUNE 30, 1998

[▼] Environmental Site Assessments

[▼] Geotechnical Investigations

[▼] UST Closure Services

[▼] UST Management Consulting

[▼] Remediation/Feasibility Studies

[▼] Groundwater & Soil Remediation

June 30, 1998

Mr. Ed Gurski PA Dept. Of Environmental Protection Environmental Cleanup Program 400 Waterfront Drive Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15222

Soil Vapor Extraction/Air Sparge Test Report RE: Route 119 Amoco, Facility ID# 26-18711 Dunbar Township, Fayette Co., PA

Dear Mr Gurski:

Chambers Environmental Group, Inc. (CEG) has prepared the above titled report documenting the results of the Soil Vapor Extraction / Air Sparge (SVE/AS) pilot test completed at the Route 119 Amoco property.

The scope of work performed by CEG included the following activities: 1) installation of three (3) SVE wells and two (2) AS wells; 2) installation of vapor monitoring probes; 3) an SVE/AS pilot test; 4) SVE/AS test data reduction and report preparation. The results of these activities is presented below.

SVE/AS Well Installation

CEG subcontracted Advanced Drilling, Inc., Washington, New Jersey to install three (3) soil vapor extraction wells and two (2) air sparge wells on the Route 119 Amoco property. The wells were installed on June 1 and 2, 1998 using an air rotary drill rig. The SVE wells were installed to a depth of approximately thirty-five (35) feet just above the depth of the apparent water table aquifer. The SVE wells are identified as SVE-1, SVE-2, and SVE-3 and the AS wells are identified as AS-1 and AS-2. A copy of the drillers well logs are provided in the Appendix B. The location of the SVE/AS wells is presented in Appendix A, Figure 1.

One (1) split spoon soil sample (a total of five samples) was collected for laboratory analysis from each SVE and AS well at a depth interval of 5-6 feet. The samples were laboratory analyzed by Chem Spec Analytical Laboratories, Inc. laboratory using US EPA Methods 8260B/5035 for volatile organics. The laboratory results of the five (5) samples is presented in Appendix C and summarized Table 1.

▼ Geoprobe Services

[▼] Environmental Site Assessments

Geotechnical Investigations

[▼] UST Closure Services

[▼] UST Management Consulting

[▼] Remediation/Feasibility Studies ▼ Groundwater & Soil Remediation

The SVE wells were designed to extract petroleum vapors from the shallow impacted area (3'-6') and to capture volatilized petroleum constituents generated from the air sparge wells at a depth above thirty-five (35) feet. The SVE wells were constructed using two-inch diameter schedule 40 well screen and casing. The screened interval extends from depths of 3-35 feet and the solid casing riser extends from 0.5-3 feet below surface grade. The well annulus around the well screen was filled with #2 sand from depths of 2-35 feet and a bentonite seal was placed from a depth of 0.5-2 feet below surface grade. The well top was secured with an expandable locking plug and housed within an eight-inch diameter steel flush mount well box.

The AS wells were designed to inject compressed air below the observed water table aquifer in order to volatilize dissolved petroleum constituents within the water table aquifer. The AS wells were constructed using two-inch diameter schedule 40 well screen and casing. The screened interval extends from depths of 37-40 feet and the solid casing riser extends from 0.5-37 feet below surface grade. The well annulus around the well screen was filled with #2 sand from depths of 36-40 feet, a bentonite seal was placed from a depth of 32-36 feet, and the remaining annulus was filled with a bentonite grout mixture from a depth of 3-32 feet below surface grade. The well top was secured with an expandable locking plug and housed within an eight-inch diameter steel flush mount well box.

Vapor Monitoring Probe Installation

A total of six (6) vapor monitoring probe (VMP's) locations with one (1) probe per location were installed following the SVE/AS well installations. The VMP's were installed using a truck mounted Geoprobe drill rig. The Geoprobe advances a hollow sampler (similar to a split spoon) using direct pneumatic pressure to the hollow sampler. The VMP's were constructed using a one (1) foot length of 3/4-inch diameter slotted well screen. Tubing was connected to the VMP and run to the surface. The tubing was labeled as to the depth of the VMP interval and housed within a 5-inch diameter flush mount steel roadbox. One (1) VMP was installed in the subsurface of each VMP location at depth intervals of 3-4 feet. The annulus around the VMP was filled with graded #2 sand from depths of 2.5-4 feet. A bentonite seal was placed above the sand from a depth of 0.5-2.5 feet to isolate the VMP from the surface.

Table 1
Soil Ouality Analytical Results

		Son Qua	nty Analytica	ii itesuits_		····
Analyte/ Sample ID	PADEP USS/AL (mg/kg)	SVE-1, 5'-6'	SVE-2, 5'-6'	SVE-3, 5'-6'	AS-1, 5'-6'	AS-2, 5'-6'
мтве	2	0.232	<0.200	0.003	<0.200	<0.200
Benzene	0.5	0.553	0.227	0.008	0.444	0.244
Toluene	100	0.160	1.27	0.007	0.258	2.25
Ethylbenzene	70	0.135	1.04	0.009	0.371	1.22
m,p-Xylene	1,000 (total)	0.157	4.52	0.005	0.695	5.88
o-Xylene	1,000 (total)	0.030	2.03	<0.002	0.369	3.27
Isopropylbenzen e	18	0.011	0.317	0.003	<0.200	0.243
Naphthalene	5	0.025	2.05	0.002	0.578	2.83

Note: All concentrations reported in mg/kg (ppm).

PADEP USS/AL= Unsaturated Soil Standard/Action Level.

Bold = PADEP USS/AL exceeded.

As summarized in Table 1, the SVE and AS wells were installed in areas exhibiting significant BTEX in soil concentrations. The fact that the SVE and AS wells exist in petroleum impacted areas of the property should provide relevant data as to the effectiveness of SVE and AS technology to remove adsorbed, dissolved, and vapor-phase petroleum constituents from the subsurface. One (1) soil sample (SVE-1, 5'-6') exceeded the benzene PADEP Unsaturated Soil Standards / Action Level for residential sites.

Multi-Well SVE Pilot Test

CEG conducted soil vapor extraction and air sparge pilot tests on the SVE/AS wells on June 4 and 5, 1998. Prior to starting the test, background (no vacuum applied) readings were collected from the monitoring locations. No significant background readings (pressure or vacuum) were observed prior to commencement of the tests. A two-horsepower regenerative vacuum blower was manifolded to the extraction well using flexible hose. The test commenced and vacuum readings were obtained from the monitoring locations. Applied vacuum, air velocity, and temperature readings were collected from the SVE blower. The extracted vapor was manifolded through a 200 lb. granular activated carbon canister to remove volatile organic vapors from the effluent air stream.

The first SVE test was performed on SVE-1 using the VMP's and nearby monitoring wells to collect vacuum/pressure readings. The test was conducted at varying vacuum rates from low to the maximum (46-58 inches water) vacuum. The SVE only test on SVE-1 was conducted for

the maximum (46-58 inches water) vacuum. The SVE only test on SVE-1 was conducted for 180 minutes after which the test was terminated. The SVE-1 test observed vacuum data is tabulated in Table 5 and the applied vacuum, air flow rate, photoionization detector (PID) and temperature readings are presented in Table 2 below.

Table 2
SVE-1 Test Data

Elapsed Time	Applied Vacuum (inches water)	Flowrate (CFM)	Temperature (F)	Influent PID Readings	Comments
2	53	60.6	117.8	700	Dilution open half
30	46	65.4	144.5	705	Dilution open half
45	46	61.9	146.3	713	Dilution open half
60	50	47.3	146.1	805	Dilution open half
75	49	56.0	150.3	790	Dilution open half
90	48	55.8	148.2	781	Dilution open hal
105	50	57.6	152.1	772	Dilution open half
120	52	47.1	152.1	773	Dilution closed ful
135	58	22.0	175.6	787	Dilution closed ful
150	58	22.9	176.8	782	Dilution closed ful
180	57	22.7	175.0	782	Dilution closed ful

As indicated in Table 2, the applied vacuum readings resulted in a moderate air flow rate indicating that the soils are well suited to vapor extraction. The PID readings steadily increased following the start of the pilot test until approximately 60 minutes after which they remained relatively steady.

The second SVE test was performed on SVE-2 using the VMP's and nearby monitoring wells to collect vacuum/pressure readings. The test was conducted at varying vacuum rates from medium to the maximum (44-60 inches water) vacuum. The SVE only test on SVE-2 was conducted for 180 minutes after which the test was terminated. The SVE-2 test observed vacuum data is tabulated in Table 5 and the applied vacuum, air flow rate, photoionization detector (PID) and temperature readings are presented in Table 3 below.

Table 3
SVE-2 Test Data

Elapsed Time	Applied Vacuum (inches water)	Flowrate (CFM)	Temperature (F)	Influent PID Readings	Comments
5	36	95.5	132.0	821	Dilution open half
10	36	94.8	127.7	855	Dilution open half
30	36	90.3	125.4	946	Dilution open half
60	36	91.1	125.0	1,003	Dilution open half
90	35	90.2	125.4	992	Dilution open half
120	58 (max.)	24.0	162.3	>2,000	Dilution closed full
150	58 (max.)	23.8	164.5	>2,000	Dilution closed full
180	58 (max.)	23.8	168.1	>2,000	Dilution closed full

As indicated in Table 3, the SVE-2 test applied vacuum ranged from 35-58 inches water during the test which resulted in a low to moderate flow rate (23.8-24.0 cfm) when the air dilution valve was closed and 90.2 to 95.5 cfm when the dilution valve was partially open. This indicates that the subsurface soils around SVE-2 are relatively "tight" and may provided adequate vacuum vapor extraction. The PID readings were maximized following closure of the dilution valve to readings >2,000 units.

The third SVE test was performed on SVE-3 using the VMP's and nearby monitoring wells to collect vacuum/pressure readings. The test was conducted at varying vacuum rates from low to the maximum (35->60 inches water) vacuum.

The SVE only test on SVE-3 was conducted for 180 minutes after which the air sparge test was terminated. The SVE-3 test observed vacuum data is tabulated in Table 7 and the applied vacuum, air flow rate, photoionization detector (PID) and temperature readings are presented in Table 4 below.

Table 4
SVE-3 Test Data

57 L 5 1 CS 1 Part										
Elapsed Time	Applied Vacuum (inches water)	Flowrate (CFM)	Temperature (F)	influent PID Readings	Comments					
3	35	95.7	113.1	895	Dilution open half					
30	35	86.1	119.2	715	Dilution open half					
60	37	96.8	119.9	787	Dilution open half					
90	36	89.2	118.8	770	Dilution open half					
95	>60 (max.)	11.6	117.3	1,643	Dilution closed full					
120	>60 (max.)	8.94	120.1	1,970	Dilution closed full					
150	>60 (max.)	6.32	179.4	>2,000	Dilution closed full					
180	>60 (max.)	7.41	176.4	>2,000	Dilution closed full					

As indicated in Table 4, the SVE-3 test applied vacuum ranged from 35 - >60 inches water during the test which resulted in a low flow rate (6.32-11.6 cfm) when the air dilution valve was closed and 86.1 to 96.8 cfm when the dilution valve was partially open. This indicates that the subsurface soils around SVE-3 are relatively "tight" and may not yield large quantities of air through the formation due to few voids in the subsurface. The PID readings were maximized following closure of the dilution valve to readings >2,000 units.

The induced vacuum readings for the monitoring points for each of the three (3) SVE tests is presented in Tables 5, 6, and 7 below:

Table 5
Test on SVE-1 Vacuum Readings (inches water vos

			.,										_
	RW-2	88	+0.05	+0.10	+0.08	+0.06	+0.03	+0.03	+0.03	\$ \$	+0.01	+0.04	+0.04
	RW-1	15	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	00:00	00:00	0.00
	MW-2	31	+0.05	+0.01	+0.01	+0.01	+0.02	+0.02	+0.02	+0.01	0.00	+0.01	+0.01
	MW-1	37	-2.00	-1.80	-1.80	-1.80	-1.80	-1.80	-1.80	-1.50	-1.50	-1.50	-1.30
(mnno	SVE-3	78	0.00	0.00	0.00	00.0	0.00	0.00	00:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
water va	SVE-2	42.5	00:00	0:00	0.00	00.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	00:0
s (inches	VMP-6	87	0.00	00.0	0.00	00.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
n Reading	VMP-5 4'	86.5 -	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	00.00
est on SVE-1 Vacuum Readings (inches water vacuum)	VMP-4	67	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0:00	0.00	00:00	0.00	00:00	0.00
on SVE	VMP-3 4'	21.5	00:0	00:00	00:0	00.0	00.0	00'0	00'0	00:0	0.00	0.00	00:0
SVE Test	VMP-2	16.5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	00:00	00:0	0.00	0.00	0.00
	VMP-1	29	-0.05	-0.05	-0.06	-0.05	-0.06	-0.06	-0.06	-0.10	-0.10	-0.10	-0.10
	Location	Radial Distance (ft)											
	Applied Vacuum	(Inches water vacuum)	53	46	48	50	49	48	50	52	58 (max.)	58 (max.)	57 (max.)
	Elapsed Time		15-20	30-35	50-55	60-65	75-80	90-95	105-110	120-125	135-140	150-155	180-185

from distances ranging from 37 (MW-1) to 29 feet (VMP-1) from the extraction well. The vacuum readings ranged from -0.10 inches As indicated in Table 5, the SVE-1 pilot test (no air sparging) indicated significant vacuum influence in VMP's and monitoring wells water (VMP-1, 4') to -1.80 inches water (MW-1) during the SVE-1 pilot test.

Table 6
Test on SVE-2 Vacuum Readings (inches water vacu

		, -			_					
	RW-2	47	+0.05	\$	6	Ş	80	+0.01	0.00	0.00
	RW-1	42.5	0.00	000	000	0.00	000	0.00	0.00	0.00
	MW-3	59	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	00.0	0.00
	MW-1	99	-0.10	-0.20	-0.02	0.00	00.0	00.00	0.00	0.00
caum)	SVE-3	48.5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
water va	SVE-1	42.5	-0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
st on SVE-2 Vacuum Readings (inches water vacuum)	VMP-6 4'	62	0.00	00:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	00:00	0.00
n Reading	VMP-5 4'	49.5 -	0.00	-0.63	0.70	-0.80	-0.85	-1.50	-1.30	-1.50
-2 Vacuun	VMP4	25	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	00.00
on SVE	VMP-3 4'	20.5	0.00	00.0	00.0	00.0	00.0	0.00	00.00	00:00
SVE lest	VMP-2 4'	57.5	0.00	0.00	0.00	00'0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	VMP-1	51	0.00	0.00	0.00	00:0	00.0	00:0	00:00	00:00
	Location	Radial Distance (ft)								
	Applied Vacuum	(Inches water vacuum)	36	36	36	36	35	58 (max.)	58 (max.)	58 (max.)
	Elapsed Time		\$	10	30	09	%	120	150	180

As indicated in Table 6, the SVE-2 pilot test (no air sparging) indicated significant vacuum influence in VMP's and monitoring wells from distances ranging from 49.5 (VMP-5, 4') to 66 feet (MW-1) from the extraction well. The vacuum readings ranged from -1.50 inches water (VMP-5, 4') to -0.20 inches water (MW-1) during the SVE-2 pilot test.

Table 7
SVE Test on SVE-3 Vacuum Readings (inches water vacuum)

		,							
RW-2	46	00.0	00.00	0.00	00:0	-0.01	-0.07	-0.15	-0.06
RW-1	5.69	0.00	00'0	0,00	0.00	00:00	0.00	0.00	0.00
MW-3	54.5	0.00	00.00	00:0	00'0	0.00	0.00	0.00	00'0
MW-1	180	0.00	00'0	00.0	00'0	00'0	00.00	00:0	00:00
SVE-2	48.5	-0.02	-0.02	-0.02	-0.02	-0.03	\$0.0-	-0.04	-0.05
SVE-1	78	0.00	00'0	0.00	0.00	00.0	00'0	00:00	00'0
VMP-6 4'	16	0.00	00.00	0.00	0.00	00.00	0.00	00.0	0.00
VMP-5	81	-8.00	-12.0	-12.0	-12.0	-0.85	0.61-	-19.0	0'61-
VMP-4	39	0.00	0.00	-0.04	-0.20	-0.15	06:0-	-0.50	-0.55
VMP-3	19	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	00.0	00.0	00:0
VMP-2	87.5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
VMP-1	66.5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	00.00	00:00
Location	Radial Distance (ft)								
Applied Vacuum	(Inches water vacuum)	36	36	36	36	35	58 (max.)	58 (max.)	58 (max.)
Elapsed Time		5	10	30	09	96	120	150	180

As indicated in Table 7, the SVE-3 pilot test (no air sparging) indicated significant vacuum influence in VMP's and monitoring wells from distances ranging from 18 (VMP-5, 4') to 48.5 feet (SVE-2) from the extraction well. The vacuum readings ranged from -19.0 inches water (VMP-5, 4') to -0.05 inches water (SVE-2') during the SVE-3 pilot test.

Multi-Well SVE/AS Pilot Test

Following completion of the SVE only pilot test on SVE-3, the combined air sparge and SVE commenced on SVE-3/AS-2 and on SVE-2/AS-1on June 5, 1998. The Multi-Well SVE/AS Pilot test consists of injecting air into a specially designed and constructed well with the screened interval below the water table and extracting vapors from a separate well some distance from the injection (air sparge well). A one-horsepower regenerative compressor blower was manifolded to AS-2 as the air sparge source and a two-horsepower regenerative vacuum blower was manifolded to SVE-3 as the extraction source. The test commenced and vacuum readings were obtained as in previously described tests. The air sparge system pressure and air flow into the well was measured as well as depth to water readings and dissolved oxygen readings. The purpose of the depth to water readings is to gather data as to potential mounding of the water table induced by adding compressed air below the water table which can be used to determine an effective radius of influence of air sparging. The dissolved oxygen readings were collected to gather data as increased dissolved oxygen within the water table aquifer induced by the air sparging and to determine a potential radius of influence of the air sparge system. The data from the two (2) tests on SVE-3/AS-2 and on SVE-2/AS-1 are presented in Tables 8 through 11 below:

Table 8
SVF-3/AS-2 Test Data

Elapsed Time	Applied Vacuum (inches water)	Flowrate (CFM)	Temperature (F)	Influent PID Readings	Comments
4	>60	13.1	86.0	1,737	Dilution closed full
30	>60	12.0	170.0	1,917	Dilution closed full
60	>60	15.5	173.7	1,973	Dílution closed full
90	>60	17.2	181.2	>2,000	Dilution closed full
135	>60	20.1	183,1	>2,000	Dilution closed full

As indicated in Table 8, the SVE-3 test applied vacuum was >60 inches water during the test which resulted in a low flow rate (12.0- 20.1 cfm) when the air dilution valve was closed. This indicates that the subsurface soils around SVE-3 are relatively "tight" and may not yield large quantities of air through the formation due to few voids in the subsurface. The PID readings were maximized following closure of the dilution valve to readings >2,000 units.

As indicated in Table 9 below, the SVE-3/AS-2 pilot test (air sparging) indicated significant vacuum influence in VMP's and monitoring wells from distances ranging from 18 (VMP-5, 4') to 48.5 feet (SVE-2) from the extraction well. The vacuum readings ranged from -22.0 inches water (VMP-5, 4') to -0.08 inches water (RW-2) during the SVE-3 pilot test. The air sparge compressor was introducing the approx. 4-5 cfm of air into the formation at a pressure of 50 inches of water.

Table 9
SVE & AS Test on SVE-3/AS-2

RW-2	46	0.00	-0.08	-0.08	80.0	0.00
RW-1	69.5	00:0	00:00	00'0	00.00	0.00
MW-3	54.5	0.00	00:00	0.00	00.00	0.00
MW-1		0.00	0.00	0.00	00:00	0.00
SVE-2	48.5	-0.0\$	-0.10	-0.12	0.10	-0.18
SVE-1	78	00:00	00'0	00'0	00.00	0.00
VMP-6	16	00.0	00'0	00'0	00'0	0.00
VMP-5	81	-22.0	-21.5	-20.0	-20.5	-20.0
VMP-4	39	-10.0	-11.0	-10.5	-11.0	-10.0
VMP-3 4'	61	0.00	0.00	0.00	00:0	00:00
VMP-2 4'	87.5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	00:00
VMP-1 4'	66.5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	00:00
Location	Radial Distance (fl)					
Applied Vacuum	(Inches water vacuum)	09×	9	09<	09<	09×
Elapsed Time		S	30	09	8	135
	Applied Location VMP-1 VMP-2 VMP-3 VMP-4 VMP-5 VMP-6 SVE-1 SVE-2 MW-1 MW-3 RW-1	Applied Vacuum Location VMP-1 VMP-2 VMP-3 VMP-4 VMP-5 VMP-5 VMP-6 SVE-1 SVE-2 MW-1 MW-3 RW-1 Vacuum 4' 4' 4' 4' 4' 4' 4' 8' <td>Applied Vacuum Location VMP-1 VMP-2 VMP-3 VMP-4 VMP-5 VMP-5 VMP-6 SVE-1 SVE-2 MW-1 MW-3 RW-1 Vacuum Vacuum) (Inches Vacuum) Radial (66.5) 87.5 61 39 18 16 78 48.5 81 54.5 69.5 vacuum) (fi) (10 0.00 0.00 -10.0 -22.0 0.00 <td< td=""><td>Applied Vacuum Location VMP-1 VMP-2 VMP-3 VMP-4 VMP-5 VMP-5 VMP-6 VMP-5 VMP-6 SVE-1 SVE-1 SVE-2 MW-1 MW-3 RW-1 Vacuum (Inches vacuum) Radial (fi) 66.5 87.5 61 39 18 16 78 48.5 81 54.5 69.5 vacuum) (fi) 0.00 0.00 -10.0 -22.0 0.00<</td><td>Applied Vacuum Location VMP-1 VMP-2 VMP-3 VMP-4 VMP-5 VMP-5 VMP-5 VMP-5 VMP-6 SVE-1 SVE-1 SVE-2 MW-1 MW-3 RW-1 Vacuum (Inches Vacuum) Radial (Inches Distance Vacuum) 87.5 61 39 18 16 78 48.5 81 54.5 69.5 vacuum) (II) 0.00 0.00 -10.0 -10.0 -22.0 0.00<!--</td--><td>Applied Vacuum Location VMP-1 VMP-2 4 VMP-3 VMP-4 4 VMP-5 VMP-5 4 VMP-5 4 VMP-5 4 VMP-5 4 VMP-5 4 VMP-5 4 VMP-6 4 VMP-6 4 VMP-6 4 VMP-6 4 VMP-7 4 VMP-7 4 VMP-5 4 VMP-5 4 VMP-6 4 VMP-7 4</td></td></td<></td>	Applied Vacuum Location VMP-1 VMP-2 VMP-3 VMP-4 VMP-5 VMP-5 VMP-6 SVE-1 SVE-2 MW-1 MW-3 RW-1 Vacuum Vacuum) (Inches Vacuum) Radial (66.5) 87.5 61 39 18 16 78 48.5 81 54.5 69.5 vacuum) (fi) (10 0.00 0.00 -10.0 -22.0 0.00 <td< td=""><td>Applied Vacuum Location VMP-1 VMP-2 VMP-3 VMP-4 VMP-5 VMP-5 VMP-6 VMP-5 VMP-6 SVE-1 SVE-1 SVE-2 MW-1 MW-3 RW-1 Vacuum (Inches vacuum) Radial (fi) 66.5 87.5 61 39 18 16 78 48.5 81 54.5 69.5 vacuum) (fi) 0.00 0.00 -10.0 -22.0 0.00<</td><td>Applied Vacuum Location VMP-1 VMP-2 VMP-3 VMP-4 VMP-5 VMP-5 VMP-5 VMP-5 VMP-6 SVE-1 SVE-1 SVE-2 MW-1 MW-3 RW-1 Vacuum (Inches Vacuum) Radial (Inches Distance Vacuum) 87.5 61 39 18 16 78 48.5 81 54.5 69.5 vacuum) (II) 0.00 0.00 -10.0 -10.0 -22.0 0.00<!--</td--><td>Applied Vacuum Location VMP-1 VMP-2 4 VMP-3 VMP-4 4 VMP-5 VMP-5 4 VMP-5 4 VMP-5 4 VMP-5 4 VMP-5 4 VMP-5 4 VMP-6 4 VMP-6 4 VMP-6 4 VMP-6 4 VMP-7 4 VMP-7 4 VMP-5 4 VMP-5 4 VMP-6 4 VMP-7 4</td></td></td<>	Applied Vacuum Location VMP-1 VMP-2 VMP-3 VMP-4 VMP-5 VMP-5 VMP-6 VMP-5 VMP-6 SVE-1 SVE-1 SVE-2 MW-1 MW-3 RW-1 Vacuum (Inches vacuum) Radial (fi) 66.5 87.5 61 39 18 16 78 48.5 81 54.5 69.5 vacuum) (fi) 0.00 0.00 -10.0 -22.0 0.00<	Applied Vacuum Location VMP-1 VMP-2 VMP-3 VMP-4 VMP-5 VMP-5 VMP-5 VMP-5 VMP-6 SVE-1 SVE-1 SVE-2 MW-1 MW-3 RW-1 Vacuum (Inches Vacuum) Radial (Inches Distance Vacuum) 87.5 61 39 18 16 78 48.5 81 54.5 69.5 vacuum) (II) 0.00 0.00 -10.0 -10.0 -22.0 0.00 </td <td>Applied Vacuum Location VMP-1 VMP-2 4 VMP-3 VMP-4 4 VMP-5 VMP-5 4 VMP-5 4 VMP-5 4 VMP-5 4 VMP-5 4 VMP-5 4 VMP-6 4 VMP-6 4 VMP-6 4 VMP-6 4 VMP-7 4 VMP-7 4 VMP-5 4 VMP-5 4 VMP-6 4 VMP-7 4</td>	Applied Vacuum Location VMP-1 VMP-2 4 VMP-3 VMP-4 4 VMP-5 VMP-5 4 VMP-5 4 VMP-5 4 VMP-5 4 VMP-5 4 VMP-5 4 VMP-6 4 VMP-6 4 VMP-6 4 VMP-6 4 VMP-7 4 VMP-7 4 VMP-5 4 VMP-5 4 VMP-6 4 VMP-7 4

Table10
SVE & AS Test on SVE-2/AS-1

	RW-2	47	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	RW-1	42.5	0.00	0.00	00:00	00:00	0.00
	MW-3	59	0.00	0.00	00'0	00:00	00.0
	MW-1	99	00:0	00:00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	SVE-3	48.5	90:0-	-0.08	-0.06	-0.05	-0.06
	SVE-1	42.5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
ет vacuum)	VMP-6 4'	62	00:00	0.00	00.0	00'0	0.00
Vacuum Readings (inches water vacuum)	VMP-5	49.5	-0.85	08.0-	02'0-	-0.55	-0.70
acuum Readir	VMP-4	25	-0.20	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	VMP-3 4'	20.5	0.00	0.00	0.00	00:00	0.00
	VMP-2 4'	5.7.5	00:00	00:00	00:00	00:00	00:0
	VMP-1 4'	15	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	Location	Radial Distance (fl)					
	Applied Vacuum	(Inches water wacuum)	09×	58	28	58	57
	Elapsed Time		5	35	65	95	120

Table 11 SVE-2/AS-1 Test Data

Elapsed Time	Applied Vacuum (inches water)	Flowrate (CFM)	Temperature (F)	Influent PID Readings	Comments
5	60	25.5	104.0	1,820	Dilution closed
35	58	22.7	107.2	>2,000	Dilution closed
65	58	30.0	138.6	>2,000	Dilution closed
95	58	30.1	124.6	>2,000	Dilution closed
120	57	26.4	122.4	>2,000	Dilution closed

As indicated in Table 11, the SVE-2 test applied vacuum was 57->60 inches water during the test which resulted in a low flow rate (22.7-30.1 cfm) when the air dilution valve was closed. This indicates that the subsurface soils around SVE-2 are relatively "tight" and may not yield large quantities of air through the formation due to few voids in the subsurface. The PID readings were maximized following closure of the dilution valve to readings >2,000 units. The SVE-2/AS-1 test when compared to the SVE-2 only test on June 5, 1998 did not indicate loss in vacuum flowrate or quantitative change in PID readings.

As indicated in Table 10 above, the SVE-2/AS-1 pilot test (air sparging) indicated significant vacuum influence in VMP's and monitoring wells from distances ranging from 49.5 (VMP-5, 4') to 48.5 feet (SVE-3) from the extraction well. The vacuum readings ranged from -0.85 inches water (VMP-5, 4') to -0.08 inches water (SVE-3) during the SVE-2/AS-1 pilot test. The air sparge compressor was introducing the approx. 19.2-20.5 cfm of air into the formation at a pressure of 52-58 inches of water.

Depth to water readings were obtained from wells RW-2, SVE-1, MW-2, and MW-1 during the test. Depth to water readings decreased (water elevation increased) 0.26 feet in well RW-2 and slightly in SVE-1. This indicates that some water table mounding may have occurred in RW-2 at distance of 47 feet from the air sparge well.

Multi-Well SVE/AS Pilot Test Air Quality Analysis

Air quality samples were collected during the SVE and AS pilot tests to document petroleum hydrocarbon removal and to demonstrate the effect of air sparge technology. Air samples were collected during the SVE (no air sparging) portion of the tests on SVE-1, SVE-2, and SVE-3 and during the Air Sparge (air sparging) portion of the tests. The samples were collected by

extracting a known volume of air through a carbon absorption tube using an industrial hygienetype air sample pump. The adsorber tubes were prepared, provided, and analyzed by Chem Spec analytical Laboratories, Inc., Harrisburg, Pennsylvania. The samples were analyzed for BTEX constituents using US EPA Method TO3. The laboratory report is presented in the Appendix D and analytical results of the ten (10) air samples is summarized in Table 12 below:

Table 12
Multi-Well SVE/AS Tests
Air Ouality Analytical Results

Sample/Analyte	Benzene	Toluene	Ethylbenzene	m,p-Xylene	o-Xylene	C1-C4 PHC	C4-C10 PHC
SVE-1 INFLUENT	10.6	8.48	6,64	7.60	5.50	415	565
SVE-1 EFFLUENT	<0.001	0.012	0.003	0.007	0.003	<1	<1
SVE-2 INFLUENT	20.3	60.2	0.85	20.4	22.9	7.14	14.3
SVE-2 EFFLUENT	0.42	0.423	0.061	0.140	0.047	47	18
SVE-3 INFLUENT	14.8	80.6	6.34	70.0	22.1	5,590	20,500
SVE-3 EFFLUENT	11.3	16.5	3.22	9.52	4.77	77	4,610
SVE-3B INFLUENT	2.06	5.90	0.667	1.44	0.336	907	351
SVE-3/AS-2 INFLUENT	20.8	9.17	20.5	19.9	22.9	5,590	15,600
SVE-2/AS-1 INFLUENT	14.5	30.4	13,9	18.5	16,0	5,340	9,260
SVE-2/AS-1 EFFLUENT	0.320	1.36	0.036	0.144	0.040	104	24

Note: All concentrations reported in parts per billion - volume (ppb-v).

As indicated in Table 12, BTEX constituents were detected in all ten (10) air samples. The air samples collected during the Air Sparge portion of the test (samples identified as SVE-2/AS-1 and SVE-3/AS-2) indicated increased concentrations of BTEX and petroleum hydrocarbon constituents when compared to the air samples collected during the SVE only portion of the tests (samples identified as SVE-2 and SVE-3). This fact may indicate that increased volatilization of dissolved BTEX constituents is occurring when the air sparge system is in operation.

In summary, the Multi-Well SVE/AS pilot tests indicated that SVE technology is effective in removing petroleum hydrocarbons from the subsurface. The tests also indicated that air sparge technology is moderately effective in volatilizing dissolved-phase petroleum hydrocarbons from the water table aquifer. However, the data collected in these tests did not conclusively indicate that the air sparge wells were adding additional oxygen to the subsurface and that mounding of groundwater may be occurring.

AS Pilot Test

An Air Sparge only test was conducted on AS-2 on June 19, 1998 to gather data additional data as to potential radius of influence of air sparge well AS-2. Static depth to water and dissolved oxygen readings were collected from locations near AS-2 that may indicate a rapid response. The data is presented in Table 13 below:

Table 13 Air Sparge Test on AS-2

Location/Distance to Air Sparge Well	Elapsed Time	Depth to Water (ft)	Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)	Vac/Press. (-/+)
SVE-2	0	14.30	1.5	0,0
38'	15	14.15	3.5	0.0
	55	14.17	4.9	0.0
	85	14.17	3.4	0.0
SVE-3	0	17.69	0.8	0.0
22'	15	17.55	1.8	0.0
	55	17.50	1.5	0.0
	85	17.50	1.6	0.0
RW-2	0	30.51	6.1	0.0
20'	15	30.30	6.4	0.0
	55	30.18	5.8	0.0
	85	30.11	3.3	0.0
MW-3	0	22.30	1.4	0,0
29'	15	22.01	1.8	0.0
	55	21.93	3.3	0.0
	85	21.76	2.2	0.0

As indicated in Table 13, following the start of the air sparge test on AS-2 depth to water values decreased (elevation increased) in all four (4) of the monitoring locations with time as the test

progressed. This may indicate a mounding effect of groundwater due to the addition of compressed air into the water table. Additionally, dissolved oxygen readings increased in three (3) of four (4) monitoring locations potentially indicating an increased level of oxygen in the water table aquifer due to the air sparge introduction of compressed air. The volume of injected into the well ranged from 5.2-5.5 cubic feet/minute at a pressure ranging from 48-60 inches water. Based on the above data, AS-2 may have a radius of effective influence ranging from 20-38 feet.

An Air Sparge only test was conducted on AS-1 on June 19, 1998 to gather data as to potential radius of influence of air sparge well AS-1. Static depth to water and dissolved oxygen readings were collected from locations near AS-1 that may indicate a rapid response. The data is presented in Table 14 below:

Table 14
Air Sparge Test on AS-1

Location/Distance to Air Sparge Well	Elapsed Time	Depth to Water (ft)	Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)	Vac./Press. (-/+)
SVE-I	0	28.27	2.8	0.0
18'	35	28,22	2.8	0.0
	75	28.15	3.1	0,0
	105	28,06	3.0	0.0
SVE-2	0	14.17	3,4	0.0
37'	35	14.18	3.6	0.0
	75	14.20	3,8	0.0
	105	14.18	3.9	0.0
RW-I	0	38.18	5.9	0,0
24'	35	38.06	6.3	0.0
	75	37.91	6.4	0.0
	105	38.12	6,4	0.0
MW-1	0	17.24	1.8	0.0
50'	35	17.23	1.9	0.0
	75	17.21	1.9	0.0
	105	17.18	1.9	0.0
MW-2	0	37.65	2.2	0,0
42'	35	37,59	2.8	0.0
	75	37.56	3.2	0.0
	105	37.56	3.3	0.0

As indicated in Table 14, following the start of the air sparge test on AS-1 depth to water values decreased (elevation increased) in all four (4) of five (5) the monitoring locations with time as the test progressed. This may indicate a mounding effect of groundwater due to the addition of compressed air into the water table. Additionally, dissolved oxygen readings increased in all five (5) monitoring locations potentially indicating an increased level of oxygen in the water table aquifer due to the air sparge introduction of compressed air. The volume of injected into the well ranged from 5.2-5.7 cubic feet/minute at a pressure ranging from 50-52 inches water. Based on the above data, AS-1 may have a radius of effective influence ranging from 18-50 feet.

An additional test was planned to potentially utilize two (2) or more existing monitoring wells (MW-1 and MW-3) as SVE and/or AS wells. Utilization of these wells may not necessitate installing additional SVE and /or AS wells thus reducing costs and disruption of business at the Route 119 Amoco property. These wells are known to be installed in areas where high levels of dissolved-phase petroleum constituents in groundwater.

The Shallow Well observed radius of influence for SVE-1, SVE-2, and SVE-3 is depicted in Appendix A, Figure 2. The radius of influence of each SVE well is not symmetrical due to variability in the subsurface materials.

Single-Well SVE/AS Pilot Test

Following completion of the Multi-Well SVE/AS pilot test on SVE-1, SVE-2, SVE-3, AS-1 and AS-2, the data was reviewed and evaluated to determine whether this technology would be effective in remediating this property. An additional test was performed to potentially utilize existing monitoring wells as SVE/AS wells. The Single-Well SVE/AS tests commenced on MW-1 and MW-3 on June 18 and 19, 1998. The Single-Well SVE/AS Pilot test consists of injecting air into a two-inch drop tube open at the bottom into an existing four-inch diameter monitoring well. The bottom of the drop tube is placed approximately 3-5 below the static water level in the well. The injected air bubbles the water within the monitoring well potentially stripping dissolved volatile organics from water after which the vapor-phase volatiles in the unsaturated zone and the stripped volatiles are then captured via conventional vacuum extraction from the same well. This process is accomplished with a specially designed well-head apparatus. A one-horsepower regenerative compressor blower was manifolded to the test well as the air sparge source and a two-horsepower regenerative vacuum blower was manifolded to the test well as the extraction source. The test commenced and vacuum readings were obtained as in previously described tests. The air sparge system pressure and air flow into the well was measured as well as depth to water readings and dissolved oxygen readings. The purpose of the depth to water readings is to gather data as to potential mounding of the water table induced by adding compressed air below the water table which can be used to detrmine an effective radius of influence of air sparging. The dissolved oxygen readings were collected to gather data as increased dissolved oxygen within the water table aquifer induced by the air sparging and to determine a potential radius of influence of the air sparge system. The vacuum blower data for MW-3 was also recorded as previously described and is summarized in Table 15 below:

Table 15 MW-3 SVE Test Data

Elapsed Time	Applied Vacuum (inches water)	Flowrate (CFM)	Temperature (F)	Influent PID Readings	Comments
1	38	75.6	142.3	530	Dilution closed full
33	39	82.8	141.6	663	Dilution closed full
85	40	84.6	144.4	702	Dilution closed full
240	40	83.5	144.7	721	Dilution closed full

As indicated in Table 15, the MW-3 test applied vacuum ranged from 38-40 inches water during the test which resulted in a low flow rate ranging from 75.6-84.6 cfm when the air dilution valve was closed. This indicates that the subsurface soils around MW-3 are conducive to SVE and may yield moderate quantities of air through the formation. The PID readings were increased with time during the test which is likely due to increased volatilization through air stripping of dissolved-phase petroleum constiuents from the groundwater. The PID units ranged from 530-721 units.

Table 16 MW-3 AS Test Data

Elapsed Time	Air Flow Rate (cfm)	Temp.	Pressure (in. water)
150	5.0	99.7	44
205	4.5	109.4	42
240	4.4	107.5	42

As indicated in Table 15 and 16, the MW-3 AS pilot test (air sparging) indicated a significant vacuum influence in monitoring wells MW-2 and SVE-1 which range in distance from 130 and 103 feet, respectively from the extraction well and the vacuum readings ranged from -0.05 to -0.65 inches water, respectively. Vacuum influence was not detected in any of the remaining wells and the VMPs. The air sparge compressor was introducing the approx. 4-5 cfm of air into the formation at a pressure of 42-44 inches of water. Dissolved oxygen readings increased in SVE-1 slightly during the test. The remaining wells exhibited varying dissolved oxygen readings above and below static conditions, therefore no conclusions could be made as to dissolved oxygen content. Slight mounding of groundwater may have occurred in RW-2, however, others wells

much further from the test well experienced decreases in depth to water readings, therefore no conclusions could be made as to groundwater mounding. The observed groundwater elevation increases may be attributed to natural changes in groundwater elevation due to recharge / discharge.

The lack of vacuum influence in surrounding wells can be explained by the fact that while air sparging in the test well is ocurring, the groundwater elevation is increased to a level above the screened interval in the well, thus preventing a vacuum from being induced on the subsurface. However, a vacuum was observed in MW-2 and SVE-1 during the test which may be due to preferential pathways (fractures) in the bedrock acting as a conduit to these locations.

Table 17
SVE & AS Test on MW-3

Vacuum Readings (inches water vacuum) Dissolved Oxygen Vacuum/Pressure PID Readings Depth to Water (ft) Elapsed Time Well/Location (mg/l)(-/+)0 MW-1 0.0 45 17.19 _ -1.0 90 -0.04 33.9 0 37.48 3.8 MW-2 2.2 -0.05 45 37.12 -0.05 2.1 90 37.19 0.0 0.6 38.16 6.8 0 RW-1 0.0 38.12 5.6 45 5.9 0.0 90 38.13 7.1 0.0 230 30.16 0 RW-2 30.27 5.5 0.0 45 90 30.12 6.2 0.0 918 0.0 0 19.20 3.5 AS-t 2.9 0.0 45 17.22 3.0 0.0 90 19.31 84.8 3.9 0.00 35.92 AS-2 0.05.5 --45 36.57 0.0 3.2 90 36.51 29.99 0.0 272 0 2.5 SVE-I 0.0 29.31 2.8 45 29.73 3.0 -0.65 90 0.0 57.6 1.6 0 14.24 SVE-2 0.0 _ 14.22 1.6 45 1.6 0.0 90 14.15 1,000 0.0 17.16 SPH SVE-3 0 0.0 SPH 17.18 45 SPH 0.0 90 17.32

An additional test was performed on MW-1 in the same manner as MW-3. The vacuum blower data for MW-1 was also recorded as previously described and is summarized in Table 16 below:

Table 18 MW-1 SVE Test Data

Elapsed Time	Applied Vacuum (inches water)	Flowrate (CFM)	Temperature (F)	Influent PID Readings	Comments
5	33	82.0	128.3	204	Dilution closed full
52	40	80.4	146.3	180	Dilution closed full
60 (air sparge)	40	81.1	146.4	193	Dilution closed full
120	40	81.1	146.4	157	Dilution closed full
170	40	82.0	148.2	135	Dilution closed full

As indicated in Table 18, the MW-1 test applied vacuum ranged from 33-40 inches water during the test which resulted in a low flow rate ranging from 80.4-82.0 cfm when the air dilution valve was closed. This indicates that the subsurface soils around MW-1 are conducive to SVE and may yield large quantities of air through the formation due to the close proximity of the pea gravel fill around the USTs. The PID readings were increased with time following start of inwell air sparging which is likely due to increased volatilization through air stripping of dissolved-phase petroleum constiuents from the groundwater The PID units ranged from 135-204 units.

Table 19 MW-1 AS Test Data

Elapsed Time	Air Flow Rate (cfm)	Temp.	Pressure (in. water)
60	4.8	114.7	50
125	4.8	114.2	42
165	5.5	119.1	35

Table 20 SVE & AS Test on MW-1

Vacuum Readings (inches water vacuum)

Well/Location	Elapsed Time	Depth to Water (ft)	Dissolved Oxygen (mg/l)	Vacuum/Pressure (-/+)	PID Readings
MW-2	0	37,21	2.3	-0.05	30.0
<u> </u>	10	37.25	2.4	-0.06	40.0
	165	37.51	3.4	-0.05	32.6
MW-3	0	22.18	1.0	0.00	420
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	10	22.35	1.0	0.00	490
	165	22.35	1,2	0.00	474
RW-1	0	37.92	7.0	0.0	0.8
	10	38.02	6.8	0.0	0.0
	165	38.14	6.1	0.0	0.0
RW-2	0	29.83	8.0	-0.02	210
 ,	10	30.21	7.3	0.0	292
	165	29.79	6.6	0.0	250
AS-I	0	15.97	3.5	0.0	3.5
	10	19.37	5.0	0.0	3.8
	165	19.31	3.0	0.0	0.2
AS-2	0	35.56	4.0	0.0	500
<u> </u>	10	36.78	3.7	0.0	575
	165	36,11	3.7	0.0	557
SVE-1	0	30.37	1.3	-0.03	30.5
	10	29.80	1.9	0.0	37.3
	165	29.78	3.4	0.0	401
SVE-2	0	14.22	0.9	0.0	2.5
······································	10	14.18	1.1	0.0	3.1
	165	14,19	1.4	0.0	119.9
SVE-3	0	17.37	SPH	0.0	257
	10	17.10	SPH	0.0	401
	165	17.10	SPH	0.0	340

As indicated in Table 19 and 20, the MW-1 AS pilot test (air sparging) did not indicate a significant vacuum influence in any of the monitoring wells. Vacuum influence was not detected in any of the remaining wells and the VMPs. The air sparge compressor was introducing the approx. 4.8-5.5 cfm of air into the formation at a pressure of 35-50 inches of water. Dissolved oxygen readings increased in SVE-1 and MW-2 slightly during the test. The remaining wells exhibited varying dissolved oxygen readings above and below static conditions, therefore no conclusions could be made as to their dissolved oxygen content. Slight mounding of groundwater may have occurred in SVE-1.

The lack of vacuum influence in surrounding wells can be explained by the fact that while air sparging in the test well is occurring, the groundwater elevation is increased to a level above the screened interval in the well, thus preventing a vacuum from being induced on the subsurface.

Single-Well SVE/AS Pilot Test Air Quality Analysis

Air quality samples were collected on June 18, 1998 during the MW-1 and MW-3 pilot tests to document petroleum hydrocarbon removal and to demonstrate the effect of air sparge technology within these wells. Air samples were collected during the SVE (no air sparging) portion of the tests on MW-1 and MW-3 and during the Air Sparge (air sparging) portion of the tests. The samples were collected by extracting a known volume of air through a carbon absorption tube using an industrial hygiene-type air sample pump. The adsorber tubes were prepared, provided, and analyzed by Chem Spec analytical Laboratories, Inc., Harrisburg, Pennsylvania. The samples were analyzed for BTEX constituents using US EPA Method TO3. The laboratory report is presented in the Appendix D and analytical results of the four (4) air samples is summarized in Table 21 below:

Table 21
Single-Well SVE/AS Tests (MW-1 & MW-3)
Air Ouality Analytical Results

Sample/Analyte	Benzene	Toluene	Ethylbenzene	m,p-Xylene	o-Xylene	C1-C4 PHC	C4-C10 PHC
MW-1	1.29	5.29	1.65	2.50	1.65	361	790
MW-1B	0.675	5.92	0.948	2.07	1.00	217	457
MW-3	2.47	3.97	2.60	3.61	3.19	416	1,830
MW-3B	3.08	10.5	2.73	5.28	3.85	1,560	2,120

Note: All concentrations reported in parts per billion - volume (ppb-v).

As indicated in Table 21, BTEX and petroleum hydrocarbon constituents were detected in all four (4) samples. The sample designated "MW-1" was collected from the well approximately five (5) minutes following start of combined vacuum extraction and compressed air injection below the water table. An additional sample designated "MW-1B" was collected from the well approximately 155 minutes after sparging commenced. The sample designated "MW-1" concentration was generally much higher than the sample collected later in the test ("MW-1B"). The lower vapor concentration following approximately 150 minutes of sparging may be due to the reduced dissolved concentration remaining in the water as the mass is transferred into the vapor-phase. Additionally, the vapor concentration may naturally vary over time given no air sparging is occurring due to variability in the subsurface.

The sample designated "MW-3" was collected from the well approximately 140 minutes following start of combined vacuum extraction and compressed air injection below the water table. An additional sample designated "MW-3B" was collected from the approximately 240 minutes after sparging commenced. The sample designated "MW-3B" was generally higher than the sample collected earlier in the test. This may be due to a greater mass of dissolved-phase constituents within or in the close vicinity of this well when compared to MW-1. The mass of dissolved-phase hydrocarbons was not confirmed on this date, however, MW-3 is in a downgradient direction from the apparent source and may continue to receive dissolved-phase hydrocarbons due to groundwater transport.

SUMMARY

The Soil Vapor Extraction (SVE) and Air Sparge (AS) pilot tests performed on the various site wells has yielded valuable information as to the magnitude and extent of petroleum impact to the soils and groundwater, the potential removal rates, spacing of SVE and AS wells, and the viability of this technology.

Significant adsorbed-phase contaminants exist in the shallow soils (2-7 feet depth) which warrant remediation due to their potential to continually leach out contaminants that are later transferred to the dissolved-phase. The SVE wells (SVE-1, SVE-2, and SVE-3) installed on the property appear to primarily influence the shallow soil zone although they are also screened within the deeper bedrock. Significant vapor-phase petroleum constituents were withdrawn from these wells during the tests.

The deeper monitoring wells (MW-1, MW-2, and MW-3) and RW-2 appear to be connected

above the static water levels in these wells. The SVE test performed on RW-2 in February 1998 indicated a high vacuum influence over most of the property within the deeper bedrock zone. Review of the data indicates that some preferential flow of vapors exist in the subsurface due primarily to the fractures within the bedrock. However, the current placement of the recovery well (RW-2), monitoring wells MW-1 and MW-3, and the SVE wells (SVE-1, SVE-2, and SVE-3) indicate adequate vacuum extraction coverage of the adsorbed-phase, dissolved-phase, and vapor-phase impacted areas of the property (see Appendix A, Figures 2 & 3). This coverage, coupled with air sparging in wells MW-1, MW-3, AS-1, and AS-2, should produce significant mass removal of dissolved-phase petroleum constituents. Therefore, CEG recommends utilizing wells MW-1, MW-3, RW-2, SVE-1, SVE-2, and SVE-3 as vacuum extraction wells (SVE wells) and utilizing wells MW-1, MW-3, AS-1, and AS-2 as air sparge wells.

Thank you for the opportunity to be of service. Please contact us at (814) 359-4520 if you have any questions or require additional information.

Sincerely,

CHAMBERS ENVIRONMENTAL GROUP, INC.

John S. Pace

Remediation Project Manager

John D. Pace


cc: Ron Hall (Route 119 Amoco)


George Sakacs (USTIF/ICF Kaiser)


File

Appendix A

Figures







Appendix B

Air Quality Analytical Reports



C5106

Mr. Jeff Chambers Chambers Environmental Group 485 East College Ave., Suite A Pleasant Gap, PA 16823

Project Manager: John Pace Project Name: 119 Amoco

Project Number: n/a

Sampler: 5 Keller, J Hughes Dates Sampled: June 4 & 5, 1998 Date Received: June 9, 1998 Time Received: 11:10 AM

nalytical Testing Parameters

Volatile Organic Compounds in Ambient Air (b.p10°C to 200°C)

Sample ID: C3106-01 • 3VL-1 IIII C	Result	<u>Units</u>	MOL	Method	Test Date	<u>Analyst</u>
Test / Parameter C ₁ - C ₄ Range C ₄ - C ₁₀ Range Benzene Tolluene	4.15 5.65 10.6 8.48	ppm-V ppm-V ppb-V ppb-V	0.001 0.001 0.001 0.001	EPA TO-3M EPA TO-3M EPA TO-3M EPA TO-3M	June 15, 1998 June 15, 1998 June 15, 1998 June 15, 1998 June 15, 1998	RMS RMS RMS RMS RMS
Ethylbenzene m.p. Xylene o-Xylene	6.64 7.60 5.50	ppb-V ppb-V ppb-V	0.001 0.002 0.001	epa to-3m epa to-3m epa to-3m	June 15, 1998 June 15, 1998 June 15, 1998	RM5 RM5

ppm-V = Parts per Million (Volume) = mg/L (Air)

ppb-V = Parts per Billion (Volume) = µg/L (Air)

The MDL is the Method Detection Limit, defined as the minimum concentration of a substance that can be measured and reported with 99% confidence that the analyte concentration is greater than zero and is determined from analysis of a sample in a given matrix containing the analyte.

/datile Organic Compounds in Ambient Air (b.p. -10°C to 200°C)

Volatile Organic Compounds in Ambient Air loss.		- 10 -0	•	-,
Sample ID: C5106-02 • 5VE-1 EFFL				
•	_	44	4 6-2	

Sample ID: C5106-02 • SVC-1 C11C	Result	Units	MOL	Method	Test Date	<u>Analyst</u>
Test / Parameter C ₁ - C ₄ Range C ₄ - C ₁₀ Range Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene m.p-Xylene	<0.001 <0.001 <0.001 0.012 0.003 0.007	ppm-V ppm-V ppb-V ppb-V ppb-V ppb-V	0.001 0.001 0.001 0.001 0.001 0.002	EPA TO-3M EPA TO-3M EPA TO-3M EPA TO-3M EPA TO-3M EPA TO-3M	June 15, 1998 June 15, 1998 June 15, 1998 June 15, 1998 June 15, 1998 June 15, 1998	RMS RMS RMS RMS RMS RMS
n-Xvlene	0,003	ppb-V	0.001	EPA TO-3M	June 15, 1998	1915

ppm-V = Parts per Million (Volume) = mg/L (Air)

ppb-V = Parts per Billion (Volume) = µg/L (Air)

The MDL is the Method Detection Limit, defined as the minimum concentration of a substance that can be measured and reported with 99% confidence that the analyte concentration is greater than zero and is determined from analysis of a sample in a given matrix containing the analyte.

Volatile Organic Compounds in Ambient Air (b.p. -10°C to 200°C)

Sample ID: C5106-03 • SVE-2 INFL

Test / Parameter	Result	<u>Units</u>	MDL,	Method	<u>Test Date</u>	VUSIVS
	7.14	ppm-V	0.001	EPA TO-3M	June 15, 1998	RM5
C ₁ - C ₄ Range C ₄ - C ₁₀ Range	14.5	ppm-V	0.001	EPA TO-3M	June 15, 1998	RM5
Benzene	20.3	ppb-V	0.001	EPA TO-3M	June 15, 1998	RM5 RM5
Toluene	60.2	ppb-V	0.001	EPA TO-3M EPA TO-3M	June 15, 1998 June 15, 1998	RM5
Ethylbenzene	0.850 20.4	ppb-V ppb-V	0.001 0.002	EPA TO-3M	June 15, 1998	RMS
m.p-Xylene o-Xylene	22.9	DDD-V	0.001	EPA TO-3M	June 15, 1998	RM5

ppm-V = Parts per Million (Volume) = mg/L (Air)

ppb-V = Parts per Billion (Volume) = µg/L (Air)

The MDL is the Method Detection Limit, defined as the minimum concentration of a substance that can be measured and reported with 99% confidence that the analyte concentration is greater than zero and is determined from analysis of a sample in a given matrix containing the analyte.



119 Amoco • Page 2 of 3 C5106

Analytical Testing Parameters

Volatile Organic Compounds in Am	bient Air Ibp10°C to 200°C)

Sample ID: C5106-04 • 5VE-2 EFFL

Test / Parameter	Result	<u>Units</u>	MDL.	Method	Test Date	<u>Analyst</u>
C ₁ - C ₄ Range	0.047	ppm-V	0.001	EPA TO-3M	June 15, 1998 June 15, 1998	RM5 RM5
C ₄ - C ₁₀ Range Benzene	0.018 0.042	ppm-V ppb-V	0.001 0.001	EPA TO-3M EPA TO-3M	June 15, 1998	RM5
Toluene	0,425 0,061	ppb-V ppb-V	0.001 0.001	EPA TO-3M EPA TO-3M	June 15, 1998 June 15, 1998	RM5 RM5
Ethylbenzene m,p-Xylene	0.140	ppb-V	0.002	EPA TO-3M	June 15, 1998	RM5 RM5
o-Xviene	0.047	ppb-V	0.001	EPA TO-3M	June 15, 1998	כויעו

ppm-V = Parts per Million (Volume) = mg/L (Air)

ppb-V = Parts per Billion (Volume) = pg/L (Air)

The MDL is the Method Detection Limit, defined as the minimum concentration of a substance that can be measured and reported with 99% confidence that the analyte concentration is greater than zero and is determined from analysis of a sample in a given matrix containing the analyte.

Volatile Organic Compounds in Ambient Air (b.p. -10°C to 200°C)

Sample ID: C5106-05 • 5VE-3 INFL

Test / Parameter	Result	<u>Units</u>	MDL	Method	<u>Test Date</u>	<u>Analyst</u>
	5.59	ppm-V	0.001	EPA TO-3M	June 15, 1998	RM5
C ₁ - C ₄ Range C ₄ - C ₁₀ Range	20.5	ppm-V	0.001	EPA TO-3M	June 15, 1998	FM5
Benzene	14.8	ppb-V	0.001	EPA TO-3M	June 15, 1998	RM5
Toluene	80.6	ppb-V	0.001	EPA TO-3M	June 15, 1998	RM5 RM5
Ethylbenzene	6.34	ppb-V	0.001	EPA TO-3M	June 15, 1998 June 15, 1998	RM5
m,p-Xylene	70.0	ppb-V	0.002	EPA TO-3M EPA TO-3M	June 15, 1998	RM5
n-Xviene	22.1	ppb-V	0.001	CLV 10-21.1	June 13, 1930	

ppm-V = Parts per Million (Volume) = mg/L (Air)

ppb-V = Parts per Billion (Volume) = µg/L (Air)

The MDL is the Method Detection Limit, defined as the minimum concentration of a substance that can be measured and reported with 99% confidence that the analyte concentration is greater than zero and is determined from analysis of a sample in a given matrix containing the analyte.

Volatile Organic Compounds in Ambient Air (bp. -10°C to 200°C)

Sample ID: C5106-06 • 5VE-3 EFFL

Test / Parameter	Result	<u>Units</u>	MDL.	Method	Test Date	<u>Analyst</u>
	0.077	V-mag	0.001	EPA TO-3M	June 15, 1998	RM5
C ₁ - C ₄ Range C ₄ - C ₁₀ Range	4.61	ppm-V	0.001	EPA TO-3M	June 15, 1998	RM5
Benzene	11.3	ppb-V	0.001	EPA TO-3M	June 15, 1998	RM5 RM5
Toluene	16.5	ppb-V	0.001	EPA TO-3M EPA TO-3M	June 15, 1998 June 15, 1998	RM5
Ethylbenzene	3.22 9.52	ppb-V oob-V	0.001 0.002	EPA TO-3M	June 15, 1998	RM5
m,p-Xylene	4.77	ppb-V	0.001	EPA TO-3M	June 15, 1998	RM5

ppm-V = Parts per Million (Volume) = mg/L (Air)

opb-V = Parts per Billion (Volume) = μg/L (Air)

The MDL is the Method Detection Limit, defined as the minimum concentration of a substance that can be measured and reported with 99% confidence that the analyte concentration is greater than zero and is determined from analysis of a sample in a given matrix containing the analyte.

Volatile Organic Compounds in Ambient Air (b.p. -10°C to 200°C)

Sample ID: C5106-07 • 5VE-3B INFL

Sample ID: C3108-07 • 300-30 Int c	Result	<u>Units</u>	MDL	Method	Test Date	<u>Analyst</u>
Test / Parameter	0.907	ppm-V	0.001	EPA TO-3M	June 15, 1998	RM5
C _I - C ₄ flange C ₄ - C ₁₀ flange	0.351	ppm-V	0.001	EPA TO-3M	June 15, 1998	RM5
Benzene	2.06	ppb-V	0.001	EPA TO-3M EPA TO-3M	June 15, 1998 June 15, 1998	RM5 RM5
Toluene	5,90 0.667	ppb-V ppb-V	0.001	EPA TO-3M	June 15, 1998	RM5
Ethylbenzene m.p-Xylene	1.44	ppb-V	0.002	EPA TO-3M	June 15, 1998	RM5 RM5
o-Xvlene	0,536	ppb-V	0.001	EPA TO-3M	June 15, 1998	כועו

ppm-V = Parts per Million (Volume) = mg/L (Air)

ppb-V = Parts per Billion (Volume) = µg/L (Atr)

The MDL is the Method Detection Limit, defined as the minimum concentration of a substance that can be measured and reported with 99% confidence that the analyte concentration is greater than zero and is determined from analysis of a sample in a given matrix containing the analyte.



119 Amoco • Page 3 of 3 C5106

Analytical Testing Parameters

Volatile Organic Compounds in Ambient Air (b.p10°C to 200°C)	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

Sample ID	: C2106-08	•	DVC	2	יייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייי	NO C
Test / Pa	arameter					

Total / Deservator	Result	Units	MOL.	<u>Method</u>	<u>Test Date</u>	Analysi
Test / Parameter		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.001	EPA TO-3M	June 15, 1998	RM5
C ₁ - C ₄ Range	5.59	ppm-V	0.001	EPA TO-3M	June 15, 1998	RM5
C ₄ - C ₁₀ Range	15.6	ppm-V	0.001	EPA TO-3M	June 15, 1998	RM5
Benzene	20.8	ppb-V ppb-V	0.001	EPA TO-3M	June 15, 1998	RM5
Toluene	9,17	ppb-V	0.001	EPA TO-3M	June 15, 1998	RM5
Ethylbenzene	20.5	ppb-V	0.002	EPA TO-3M	June 15, 1998	RM 5
m,p-Xylene	19.9		0.002	EPA TO-3M	June 15, 1998	RM 5
n-Xvlene	22. 9	ppb-V	0.001	2.1.10 3.1		

20000

ppm-V = Parts per Million (Volume) = mg/L (Air)

ppb-V = Parts per Billion (Volume) = µg/L (Air)

The MDL is the Method Detection Limit, defined as the minimum concentration of a substance that can be measured and reported with 99% confidence that the analyte concentration is greater than zero and is determined from analysis of a sample in a given matrix containing the analyte.

Volatile Organic Compounds in Ambient Air (bp. -10°C to 200°C)

Sample ID:	C5106-09	SVE2	/ A5-1 IMFL

Test / Peremeter	Result	<u>Units</u>	MDL	<u>Method</u>	Test Date	<u> Analyst</u>
Test / Parameter C ₁ - C ₄ Range C ₄ - C ₁₀ Range Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene m.p-Xylene	5.34 9.26 14.5 30.4 13.9 18.5	ppm-V ppm-V ppb-V ppb-V ppb-V ppb-V	0.001 0.001 0.001 0.001 0.001 0.002	EPA TO-3M EPA TO-3M EPA TO-3M EPA TO-3M EPA TO-3M EPA TO-3M	June 15, 1998 June 15, 1998 June 15, 1998 June 15, 1998 June 15, 1998 June 15, 1998 June 15, 1998	RMS RMS RMS RMS RMS RMS
o-Xylene	16.0	ppb-V	0.001	EPA TO-3M	June 15, 1990	,

ppm-V = Parts per Million (Volume) = mg/L (Air)

ppb-V = Parts per Billion (Volume) = μg/L (Air)

The MDL is the Method Detection Limit, defined as the minimum concentration of a substance that can be measured and reported with 99% confidence that the analyte concentration is greater than zero and is determined from analysis of a sample in a given matrix containing the analyte.

Volatile Organic Compounds in Ambient Air (bp. -10°C to 200°C)

	_		
Sample	D- C5106-	10 • 5VEZ	? / A5-1 EFFL

Test / Parameter	Result	<u>Units</u>	MOL	Method	<u>rest Date</u>	VA KATA 2T
 -	0.104	V-mag	0.001	EPA TO-3M	June 15, 1998	rm5
C, - C, Range	0.024	ppm-V	0.001	EPA TO-3M	June 15, 1998	RM5
C ₄ - C ₁₀ Range	0.320	DDD-V	0.001	EPA TO-3M	June 15, 1998	RM5
Benzene	1.36	DDD-V	0.001	EPA TO-3M	June 15, 1998	RM5
Toluene	0.036	ppb-V	0.001	EPA TO-3M	June 15, 1998	RM5
Ethylbenzene	0.144	00b-V	0.002	EPA TO-3M	June 15, 1998	RM5
m,p-Xylene	0.040	ppb-V	0.001	EPA TO-3M	June 15, 1998	RM5
o_Xviene	0.0.0					

ppm-V = Parts per Million (Volume) = mg/L (Air)

ppb-V = Parts per Billion (Volume) = μ g/L (Air)

The MDL is the Method Detection Limit, defined as the minimum concentration of a substance that can be measured and reported with 99% confidence that the analyte concentration is greater than zero and is determined from analysis of a sample in a given matrix containing the analyte.

Reviewed and reported by:

Terry Osenbach, Laboratory Director

PADEP Lab No. 22-478

Chambers Environmental Group, Inc.

Pleasant Gap, PA 16823 Tel: (814) 359-4520 • Fax: (814) 359-9881 485 E. College Avenue, Suite A

Chain of Custody Report

C5106

Page Lof

PACE

Project Manager: Project Name:

CHEN SPEC

Lab Sent To: Address: Address: Contact Phone: Fax:

Rush

(Rush Results subject to prior approval Turnaround Time Requested (please drole)

Analysis Requested J. Huetics 119 ANDED S.Kellox Project Number: # O. Sampler(s): Location:

Remarks	O. S.C. ZKIS	2.04.7Kr3	5.0		0.56.765	10176	0,25 L. zes	0.056.K.S	0.254.Xe	1.00 L. Xr.					
//	1000	100	100	1000		100000	100	100	1000	1000	100	100		100	DOI NOT
///															
////															
15.52 x	×	X	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	X					
Matrix Pres.	Aik											,			
Total # of Containers	-	,	7	/	K	1	1	7	8	1					
Time	1610	1615	025/	1935	2200	0166	-3100	0945	1200	1215					
- Date Collected	86.4.9						1	6.5.98		+					
Sample Identification Description/Locations	SVE-1 Inst	12/5-1 2/6/	SVE-2 INFI	SNE-2 EFFI	SVE-3 INFI	SVC-3 EFF!	SUE-38 INF!	SVE3 /AS-2 INE!	SVE2/45-1 INFI	SVE 2/45-1 EFF					
New Des	100	2		-	10	9	102	8	6	0	1.4	2	3	4	100

Comments

Send Bill To:	Reinquispal By:	Date	ime	Relinquished By:	č	Date Time :
	A1378014	865.9	(63)	W. Homes	6	8/78 9:00
	Refrousted By.	Date	lime	Relipquished By.,	Joy	Date Time
	W. Hml	1.19/98 11.100	11.100	CLEMALIER DINSK	Le 16.	011/1866-
Delivery Method:	Relinquismed By.	báte	Time	Reindusned By	Di	Date Time
				0 0		

Original - Return With Results

YeBow - Lab

Pink - Field Files



C3259

Mr. Jeff Chambers

Chambers Environmental Group 485 East College Ave , Suite A leasant Gap, PA 16823

Project Manager: J. Pace

فالمعاد فينا أفياؤه بيها ويرد

Project Name: 119 Amoco

Project Number: n/a

Sampler: S. Keller J. Hughes Date Sampled: June 18, 1998

Date Received: June 25, 1998

Time Received: 12:45 PM

alytical Testing Parameters

Volatie Organic Compounds in Ambie Semple ID: C\$259-01 • MW-1 <u>Test / Parameter</u> C. • Ca Range	0 <u>22.0</u> 0.561	<u>Units</u> ppm-V	0 001 0 001	Metrod EPA TO-3M EPA TO-3M	<u>Test Pate</u> June 25, 1998 June 25, 1998	drabat 2M9 2M9
Ca. Cin Range Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene m,p-Kylene	0,790 1,29 5,29 1,65 2,50 1,63	99m-V 990-V 990-V 990-V 990-V	0.001 0.001 0.001 0.001 0.002 0.002	EPA 10-3M EPA 10-3M EPA 10-3M EPA 10-3M EPA 10-3M	June 25, 1998 June 25, 1998 June 25, 1998 June 25, 1998 June 25, 1998	RMS RMS RMS RMS RMS

en-V = Parts per Million (Volume) = mg/l (Air)

pob-V = Forts per Billion (Volume) ≈ µg/l. (Air)

The HDL is the Hethod Detection Limit, defined as the minimum concentration of a substance that can be measured and reported with 99% confidence that the analyte concentration is greater than sem and is determined from analysis of a sample in a given matrix containing the analyse.

/olatile Organic Compounds in Ambient Air (bp. -10°C to 200°C)

Res.#. 0.217 0.457 0.675 5.92 0.948 2.07	pom-V pom-V pom-V pop-V pop-V pop-V pop-V	MCL 0.001 0.001 0.001 0.001 0.002 0.002	Method EPA 10-3M	<u>Test Date</u> June 25, 1998	בעלבטט כייח כייח כייח כייח כייח כייח כייח
	0.217 0.457 0.675 5.92 0.948	0.217 ppm-V 0.457 ppm-V 0.675 ppb-V 5.92 ppb-V 0.946 ppb-V 2.07 ppb-V	0.217 ppm-V 0.001 0.457 ppm-V 0.001 0.675 ppb-V 0.001 5.92 ppb-V 0.001 0.948 ppb-V 0.001 2.07 ppb-V 0.002	0.217 ppm-V 0.001 EPA T0-3M 0.457 ppm-V 0.001 EPA T0-3M 0.675 ppb-V 0.001 EPA T0-3M 5.92 ppb-V 0.001 EPA T0-3M 0.948 ppb-V 0.001 EPA T0-3M 2.07 ppb-V 0.002 EPA T0-3M	0.217 ppm-V 0.001 EPA TO-3M June 25, 1998 0.457 ppm-V 0.001 EPA TO-3M June 25, 1998 0.675 ppb-V 0.001 EPA TO-3M June 25, 1998 5.92 ppo-V 0.001 EPA TO-3M June 25, 1998 0.948 ppb-V 0.001 EPA TO-3M June 25, 1998 2.07 ppb-V 0.002 EPA TO-3M June 25, 1998

som-V = Parts pet Million (Volume) = mg/L (Air)

ppb-V = Parts per Billion (Volume) = µg/L (Air)

The MDL is the Method Detection Limit, defined as the minimum concentration of a substance that can be measured and reported with 99% confidence that the analyte concentration to greater than zero and is determined from analysis of a sample to a given matrix containing the analyse.

Volatile Organic Compounds in Ambient Air (bp. -10°C to 200°C)

Sample ID: C5259-03 • MW-3 Test / Paramolor C ₁ - C ₄ mange C ₄ - C ₁₀ Range Benzene	R <u>esult</u> 0.416 1.83 2.47 5.87	<u>Units</u> ppm-V ppm-U ppb-V ppb-V	MDL 0.001 0.001 0.001	Method CPA TO-3M EPA TO-3M EPA TO-3M EPA TO-3M	Test Date June 25, 1998 June 25, 1998 June 25, 1998 June 25, 1998	120500 2443 2443 2443 2443 2443
Toluene Toluene Ethylbenzene m.p-Xylene o-Xylene	5.67 2.60 5.61 5.19	ppb-V ppb-V ppb-V ppb-V	0.001 0.001 0.002 0.001	epa to-sat epa to-sat epa to-sat epa to-sat	June 25, 1998 June 25, 1998 June 25, 1998	EMA EMA EMA

sem-V - Parts per Million (Volume) = mg/L (Air)

ppb-V - Parts per Billion (Volume) - µg/L (Air)

The MDL is the Mathod Detection Limit, defined as the minimum concentration of a substance that can be measured and reported with 99% confidence that the analyse concentration is greater than zero and is determined from analysis of a sample in a given matrix containing the analyte.

Old Jonestown Road, Suite D. • Paxtonia Business Center. • Harrisburg, PA 1712. • Phone: (717) 671-9633. • Fax: (717) 671-9635

configuration for the second

119 Amoco • Page 2 of 2 **C5259**

Analytical Testing Parameters

Volatile Organic Compounds in Ambie Sample ID. C5259-04 • MW-58			MDL	Method	Test Date	<u> ∆natyst</u>
Test / Parameter	RELA	Mutz				RMS
	1.56	ppm-V	0.001	EPA TO-3M	Júne 25, 1998	
c, - C, Pange	2.12	nom-V	0.001	EPA TO-3M	June 25, 1998	RMS
C C. Range			0 001	FPA TO-3M	June 25, 1998	rv45
Benzene	5.08	ppb-V		EPA TO-3M	June 25, 1998	RM5
Toluene	10.5	ρρb∙V	0.001		June 25, 1998	RMS
• •	2.73	ρ <u>ρδ</u> -V	0.001	EPA TO-3M		RMS
finylpenzene	5.28	Pob-V	0.002	EPA TO-5M	June 25, 1998	
m,p-Xylene	3.85	ppb-∨	0.001	EPA TO-3M	June 25, 1998	RMS
- Videon	2.02	թթա	0.00.			

ppra-V = Forts per Hillion (Malume) = mg/L (Air)

ppb-V = Parts per Billion (Volume) = µg/L (Air)

The MDL is the Method Datection Limit, defined as the minimum concentration of a substance that can be measured and reported with 99% confidence that the analyse concentration is greater than zero and is determined from analysis of a sample in a given matrix containing the analyte

Reviewed and reported by:

Terry Osenbach, Laboratory Director

PADEP Lab No. 22-478

Decrave

Appendix C

Soil Quality Analytical Reports



C5047

Mr. Jeff Chambers Chambers Environmental Group 485 East College Ave., Suite A

Pleasant Gap, PA 16823

Project Manager: John Pace Project Name: Rt 119 Amoco Project Number: 96-05413-B

Sampler: JRM

Date Sampled: June 1 and 2, 1998 Date Received: June 3, 1998 Time Received: 12:30 PM

nalytical Testing Parameters

Selected PA DEP UST Parameters. Unleaded Gasoline

5ample ID: C5047-01 • 5VE-1 5'-6'			4.404	Mathod	Test Date	Analyst
5ample ID: C5047-01 • SVE-1 5'-6' Test / Parameter MTBE Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene m,p-Xylene o-Xylene Isopropylbenzene (Cumene)	Result 0.232 0.553 0.160 0.135 0.157 0.030 0.011	Units ppm ppm ppm ppm ppm ppm ppm ppm ppm	MDL 0.002 0.002 0.002 0.002 0.004 0.002 0.002	Method 5W846 8260B/5035 5W846 8260B/5035 5W846 8260B/5035 5W846 8260B/5035 5W846 8260B/5035 5W846 8260B/5035 5W846 8260B/5035	Test Date June 5, 1998	Analyst RM5 RM5 RM5 RM5 RM5 RM5 RM5 RM5
Maphthalene Moisture	0.025 12.54	ppm %	0.002 0.01	5W846 82608/5035 EPA 160.3	June 5, 1998 June 3, 1998	RM5

ppm = Parts per Million = mg/Kg (5oil - Dry)

The MDL is the Method Detection Limit, defined as the minimum concentration of a substance that can be measured and reported with 99% confidence that the analyte concentration is greater than zero and is determined from analysis of a sample in a given matrix containing the analyte.

Selected PA DEP UST Parameters: Unleaded Gasoline

5ample ID: C5047-02 • 5VE-2 5'-0'	Result	<u>Units</u>	MDL.	Method	Test Date	<u>Analyst</u>
Test / Parameter MTBE Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene m,p-Xylene o-Xylene isopropylbenzene (Cumene) Maphthalene % Moisture	<0.200 0.227 1.27 1.04 4.52 2.03 0.317 2.05 8.55	ppm ppm ppm ppm ppm ppm ppm ppm	0.200 0.200 0.200 0.200 0.400 0.200 0.200 0.200 0.200	5W846 8260B/5035 5W846 8260B/5035 5W846 8260B/5035 5W846 8260B/5035 5W846 8260B/5035 5W846 8260B/5035 5W846 8260B/5035 5W846 8260B/5035 5W846 8260B/5035	June 5, 1998 June 5, 1998	RMS RMS RMS RMS RMS RMS RMS RMS RMS
30 Linipring						

ppm = Parts per Million = mg/Kg (Soll - Dry)

The MDL is the Method Detection Limit, defined as the minimum concentration of a substance that can be measured and reported with 99% confidence that the analyte concentration is greater than zero and is determined from analysis of a sample in a given matrix containing the analyte.

Selected PA DEP UST Parameters: Unleaded Gasofine

Sample ID: C5047-03 • 5VE-3 5'-6'	Dog it	Lioite	MOL	Method	Test Date	Analyst
<u>Test / Parameter</u> MTBE Benzene Toluene	<u>Result</u> 0.003 0.008 0.007	<u>Units</u> ppm ppm ppm	0.002 0.002 0.002	5W846 8260B/5035 5W846 8260B/5035 5W846 8260B/5035	June 8, 1998 June 8, 1998 June 8, 1998	RM5 RM5 RM5
Ethylbenzene m.p-Xylene o-Xylene Isopropylbenzene (Cumene) Haphthalene	0.009 0.005 <0.002 0.003 0.002 8.72	ppm ppm ppm ppm ppm	0.002 0.004 0.002 0.002 0.002 0.01	5W846 8260B/5035 5W846 8260B/5035 5W846 8260B/5035 5W846 8260B/5035 5W846 8260B/5035 EPA 160.3	June 8, 1998 June 8, 1998 June 8, 1998 June 8, 1998 June 8, 1998 June 3, 1998	RMS RMS RMS RMS RMS RMS

ppm = Parts per Million = mg/Kg (Soil - Dry)

The MDL is the Method Detection Limit, defined as the minimum concentration of a substance that can be measured and reported with 99% confidence that the analyte concentration is greater than zero and is determined from analysis of a sample in a given matrix containing the analyte.



Rt 119 Amoco • Page 2 of 2 C5047

Analytical Testing Parameters

Selected PA DEP UST Parameters: Unleaded Gasoline

5ample ID: C5047-04 • A5-1 5'-6'

Test / Parameter	<u>Result</u>	<u>Units</u>	MDL.	<u>Method</u>	<u>Lest Date</u>	<u> Vivaliyst</u>
MTBE	<0.200	ppm	0.200	5W846 8260B/5035 5W846 8260B/5035	June 5, 1998 June 5, 1998	RM5 RM5
Renzene Totago	0.444 0.258	ppm ppm	0.200 0.200	5W846 8260B/5035	June 5, 1998	RM5
Toluene Ethylbenzene	0.371	ppm	0.200	5W846 8260B/5035 5W846 8260B/5035	June 5, 1998 June 5, 1998	RM5 RM5
m,p-Xylene o-Xylene	0.695 0.369	ppm	0.400 0.200	5W846 8260B/5035	June 5, 1998	RM5
Isopropylbenzene (Cumene)	<0.200	ppm	0.200	SW846 82608/5035	June 5, 1998 June 5, 1998	RMS RMS
Maphthalene % Moisture	0.57 8 7.19	ppm %	0.200 0.01	5W846 8260B/5035 EPA 160.3	June 3, 1998	RMS
A UNDER CO.						

ppm = Parts per Million = mg/Kg (5oil - Dry)

The MDL is the Method Detection Limit, defined as the minimum concentration of a substance that can be measured and reported with 99% confidence that the analyte concentration is greater than zero and is determined from analysis of a sample in a given matrix containing the analyte.

Selected PA DEP UST Parameters: Unleaded Gasofine

Sample ID: **C5047-05 •** A5-2 5'-6'

Test / Parameter	Result	<u>Units</u>	MDL	<u>Method</u>	Test Date	<u>Analyst</u>
MTBE	<0.200	ppm	0.200	5W846 8260B/5035	June 5, 1998	RM5
Benzene	0.244	DDM	0.200	5W846 8260B/5035	June 5, 1998	RM5
	2.25	ppm	0.200	5W846 8260B/5035	June 5, 1998	RM5
Toluene	1.22	ppm	0.200	5W846 8260B/5035	June 5, 1998	RM5
Ethylbenzene	5.88	ppm	0.400	5W846 8260B/5035	June 5, 1998	RM5
m,p-Xylene	3.27	ppm	0.200	5W846 8260B/5035	June 5, 1998	RM5
o-Xylene	0.243	ppm	0.200	5W846 8260B/5035	June 5, 1998	RM5
Isopropylbenzene (Cumene)	2.85	ppm	0.200	5W846 82608/5035	June 5, 1998	RMS
Naphthalene % Moisture	15.21	% %	0.01	EPA 160.3	June 3, 1998	RM5

ppm = Parts per Million = mg/Kg (5oil - Dry)

The MDL is the Method Detection Limit, defined as the minimum concentration of a substance that can be measured and reported with 99% confidence that the analyte concentration is greater than zero and is determined from analysis of a sample in a given matrix containing the analyte.

Reviewed and reported by:

Terry Osenbach, Laboratory Director

PADEP Lab No. 22-478

Oerrova

Chambers Environmental Group, Inc.

485 E. College Avenue, Suite A Pleasant Gap, PA 16823 Tel: (814) 359-4520 • Fax: (814) 359-9881

Chain of Custody Report

C5047

Page 1 of 1

Mormal Bush	at and curchange					(///	Remarks		100													
Turnard time Bennatted Infeste rivial	(Buth Betuffs subject to prior appropriated	dido and or suffice supran cond	Analysis Requested	1 1 1 1 1	11110	PAR	777	×	x	X	×	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \										
							Pres.	400	-			-										
John Pace	He 119 Amoco	Disbu A	Jem.		96-05413-B		Total # of Containers Matrix	1				T	,			!	1					
Manager:	Project Name:	Location: 1	Sampler(s):	#O.#	Project Number:		Time	13:55	15:30	8:15	13:05	9.55				-						
Project	Proje			The state of the state of	Project		Date			6/2/18		T										
Lab Sent To: Che Seu		Harrisha PA					ufication	1 5-6'		510,	5'-6'	21-6'										
ab Sent To:	Address:	Address:		Phone:	Fax:		Sample Identification Description/Locations	1-315	5-34S	5-315	45-1	2-50							1		TO THE REAL PROPERTY.	
7								100	2	3	4	5	9	7	8	6	10	11	12	13	14	1000

		_	
	۲	3	
1	9	ε	
	ь	۰	
	٩	э	
	с	3	
	с	=	
	č	•	
1	c	Ξ	
н	г	5	
ü	7	٤	

Time	10:00	Time	1001	Time	
Daje	6/3/98	bak	K12-5-1	Date	
Relinquished By: 11	M. Hmy	Reinquished By.	LAMINGLA ATTINGLEDING	Relinquismed By.	00 11 18
Date , Time	5460 15/8/2	Saré Time		Date Time	
Reinfalished By	you K. Mull	Refinquished By:		Relinquished By:	
9.				Delivery Method: Chim Spee Plack mp	
Send Bill To: CEG				thod: Che	
Send				Delivery Me	