# BEFORE THE INSURANCE COMMISSIONER OF THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYL VANIA OF FIG. 1.9

IN RE:

VIOLATIONS:

LEVENIA DIANE CONRAD

40 P.S. §§ 310.11(6), (7) and (20)

5484 Rogers Road

Hamburg, PA 14075

Respondent.

: Docket No. CO10-01-014

## CONSENT ORDER

AND NOW, this 16 th day of March, 2010, this Order is hereby issued by the Insurance Department of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania pursuant to the statutes cited above and in disposition of the matter captioned above.

- 1. Respondent hereby admits and acknowledges that she has received proper notice of her rights to a formal administrative hearing pursuant to the Administrative Agency Law, 2 Pa.C.S. § 101, et seq., or other applicable law.
- 2. Respondent hereby waives all rights to a formal administrative hearing in this matter, and agrees that this Consent Order, and the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law contained herein, shall have the full force and effect of an Order duly entered in accordance with the adjudicatory procedures set forth in the Administrative Agency Law, supra, or other applicable law.

3. Without admitting the allegations of fact and conclusions of law contained herein, Respondent specifically denies that she violated any law or regulation of the Commonwealth.

# **FINDINGS OF FACT**

- 4. The Insurance Department finds true and correct each of the following Findings of Fact:
  - (a) Respondent is Levenia Diane Conrad, and maintains her address at 5484 Rogers road, Hamburg, Pennsylvania 14075.
  - (b) Respondent has an active resident individual producer license #495159 that expires on July 2, 2011.
  - (c) On May 14, 2009, Daniel Edmund Walsh (Producer) persuaded a Pennsylvania resident into investing funds into a tax deferred annuity.
  - (d) On May 14, 2009, Daniel Walsh placed a call from his office at Bankers

    Conseco Life in Jamestown, New York to Ameriprise Financial Services,

    Inc., a member of FINRA and SIPS, for the transfer and/or to expedite the relocation of the Pennsylvania resident's funds.

- (e) On May 14, 2009, an Ameriprise Service Representative requested to speak with the Pennsylvania resident for the purpose of verifying pertinent information to complete the transfer of funds, and Daniel Walsh had the Respondent impersonate the Pennsylvania resident to accelerate the transfer of funds.
- (f) On May 14, 2009, an Ameriprise Service Representative contacted the

  Pennsylvania resident by telephone at her residence in Pennsylvania to

  verify personal information, and the Pennsylvania resident informed

  Ameriprise that she did not have any prior conversation with any of their representatives.
- (g) On December 30, 2009, Daniel Walsh asserted that the telephone conversation between himself, Respondent and the customer service representative with Ameriprise took place in the Banker's offices in Jamestown, New York.

# CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

5. In accord with the above Findings of Fact and applicable provisions of law, the Insurance Department concludes and finds the following Conclusions of Law:

- (a) Respondent is subject to the jurisdiction of the Pennsylvania Insurance

  Department.
- (b) 40 P.S. § 310.11(6) prohibits a licensee or an applicant from committing any unfair insurance practice or fraud.
- (c) Respondent's activities described above in paragraphs 4(e) through 4(g) violate 40 P.S. § 310.11(6).
- (d) 40 P.S. § 310.11(7) prohibits a licensee or an applicant from using fraudulent, coercive or dishonest practices or demonstrating incompetence, untrustworthiness or financial irresponsibility in the conduct of doing business.
- (e) Respondent's activities described above in paragraphs 4(e) through 4(g) violate 40 P.S. § 310.11(7).
- (f) 40 P. S. § 310.11(20) prohibits a licensee from demonstrating a lack of general fitness, competence or reliability sufficient to satisfy the Department that the licensee is worthy of licensure.

- (g) Respondent's activities described above in paragraphs 4(e) through 4(g) violate 40 P.S. § 310.11(20).
- (h) Respondent's violations of Sections 310.11(6), (7) and (20) are punishable by the following, under 40 P.S. § 310.91:
  - (i) suspension, revocation or refusal to issue the certificate of qualification or license;
  - (ii) imposition of a civil penalty not to exceed five thousand dollars (\$5,000.00) for every violation of the Act;
  - (iii) an order to cease and desist; and
  - (iv) any other conditions as the Commissioner deems appropriate.

## **ORDER**

- 6. In accord with the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, the Insurance Department orders and Respondent consents to the following:
  - (a) Respondent shall cease and desist from engaging in the activities described herein in the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law.
  - (b) Respondent shall pay a civil penalty of Five Thousand Dollars (\$5,000.00) to the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. Payment of this penalty shall be made

by certified check or money order, payable to the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. Payment should be directed to April Phelps, Bureau Secretary, Bureau of Licensing and Enforcement, 1227 Strawberry Square, Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17120. Payment may be enclosed with the Consent Order, but must be paid in any event no later than sixty (60) days after the date of the Consent Order.

- (c) Respondent's certificates and licenses may be immediately suspended by the Department following its investigation and determination that (i) any terms of this Order have not been complied with, or (ii) any complaint against Respondent is accurate and a statute or regulation has been violated. The Department's right to act under this section is limited to a period of five (5) years from the date of this Order.
- (d) Respondent specifically waives her right to prior notice of said suspension, but will be entitled to a hearing upon written request received by the Department no later than thirty (30) days after the date the Department mailed to Respondent by certified mail, return receipt requested, notification of said suspension, which hearing shall be scheduled for a date within sixty (60) days of the Department's receipt of Respondent's written request.
- (e) At the hearing referred to in paragraph 5(d) of this Order, Respondent shall have the burden of demonstrating that she is worthy of a license.

- (f) In the event Respondent's certificates and licenses are suspended pursuant to paragraph 5(c) above, and Respondent either fails to request a hearing within thirty (30) days or at the hearing fails to demonstrate that she is worthy of a license, Respondent's suspended certificates and licenses shall be revoked.
- 7. In the event the Insurance Department finds that there has been a breach of any of the provisions of this Order, based upon the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law contained herein, the Department may pursue any and all legal remedies available, including but not limited to the following: The Department may enforce the provisions of this Order in an administrative action pursuant to the Administrative Agency Law, supra, or other relevant provision of law; or, if applicable, the Department may enforce the provisions of this Order in any other court of law or equity having jurisdiction.
- 8. Alternatively, in the event the Insurance Department finds that there has been a breach of any of the provisions of this Order, the Department may declare this Order to be null and void and, thereupon, reopen the entire matter for appropriate action pursuant to the Administrative Agency Law, supra, or other relevant provision of law.
- 9. In any such enforcement proceeding, Respondent may contest whether a breach of the provisions of this Order has occurred but may not contest the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law contained herein.

- 10. Respondent hereby expressly waives any relevant statute of limitations and application of the doctrine of laches for purposes of any enforcement of this Order.
- 11. This Order constitutes the entire agreement of the parties with respect to the matters referred to herein, and it may not be amended or modified except by an amended order signed by all the parties hereto.
- 12. This Order shall be final upon execution by the Insurance Department. Only the Insurance Commissioner or a duly authorized delegee is authorized to bind the Insurance Department with respect to the settlement of the alleged violations of law contained herein, and this Consent Order is not effective until executed by the Insurance Commissioner or a duly authorized delegee.

BY: LEVENIA DIANE CONRAD, Respondent

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVAN By: Ronald A. Gallagher, Jr.

Deputy Insurance Commissioner